

PATENT

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

Lolocal Cxx SIGNATURE 7-29-05

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

: Daniel D. Carlson

Serial No.

: 10/602,344

Filing Date

: June 24, 2003

For

: FLAP-TYPE VEHICLE

CABIN EXHAUSTER

Group Art Unit

3753

Examiner

: Ramesh Krishnamurthy

Attorney Docket No.

: TRW(FAS)6279

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 BOX AF

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 29, 2005, reconsideration of the above-identified patent application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-7 and 9-12 stand rejected as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over EP 0 915 302 A2. Anticipation requires a single prior art reference that discloses each element of the claim. W.L. Gore & Associates v. Garlock, Inc., 220 UPSQ 303, 313 (Fed. Cir. 1983) cert. denied 469 U.S. 851 (1984). The rejection of the claims is respectfully traversed as EP 0 915 302 A2 fails to disclose each element of the claims.